

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/940,166	08/27/2001	Gregory S. Blank	PI105RIDICI	5378	
9157	7590 03/11/2003				
GENENTECH, INC.			EXAMINER		
1 DNA WAY SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94080			SAUNDERS, DAVID A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1644		
			DATE MAILED: 03/11/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	to etal	
Office Action Summary	Application No. O 4/4/40/60 Examiner SAUND E	25	Group Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet be	eneath the co	orrespondence ac	idress
Period for Reply	マ		_	
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO DET THIS COMMUNICATION.				
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replection of the period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, encouraged to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute 	y within the statutory minim	um of thirty (30)	days will be consider te of this communicati	ed timely. on .
Status / /				
\triangle Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\frac{5}{27}$	7 /			······································
i i i his action is Final.			**	and in
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935	or formal matters, pros C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213	ecution as to 3.	o tne merits is cio	sea In
Disposition of Claims Claim(s) 20		is/are	pending in the ap-	olication.
Of the above claim(s)		is/are	withdrawn from co	onsideration.
□ Claim(s)		ie/are	rejected	
14 Claim(s) 2 0			anhianted to	
□ Claim(s)		15/a16	ubject to restriction	or election
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————		requi	rement.	1 01 01000011
Application Papers				
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review, PTO-948.	□ dicemprov	vod	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	isapproved	□ disapprov	ea.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	leu to by the Examiner.			
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. & 11 9/a)-(d).		
 □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received. 	the priority documents	nave been	•	
 □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number □ received in this national stage application from the Interest 	er)ernational Bureau (PCT	Rule 1 7.2(a))).	
*Certified copies not received:				
Attachment(s) Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	lo(s)	Interview Su	mmary, PTO-413	
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	(-) .		ormal Patent Appli	cation, PTO-152
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94				
Offic	e Action Summary			

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 09/940,166 Page 2

Art Unit: 1644

The amendment of 8/27/01 has been entered. Claim 20 is pending and under examination.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 20 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,322,997. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because

Page 3 Application/Control Number: 09/940,166

Art Unit: 1644

instant claim 20 is recited precisely the same as issued claim 1, except for the fact that claim 20 contains a "wherein" clause that limits the antigen-binding specificity of the antibody.

Instant claim 20 thus falls within the scope of what is encompassed by issued claim. A disclaimer is required in order to assure that the '977 patent and any patent issued instantly remain under common ownership.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David A. Saunders, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 308-3976. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan, can be reached on (703) 308-3973. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

D. Saunders:jmr

February 25, 2003

DAVID SAUNDERS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 182 /644